

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board Section 319(h) Nonpoint Source Program FY 2006 Project Workplan (06-05)

for th	NONPOIN e CWA, Section 319(h) A	T SOURCE SU Agricultural/Silv			ce Program	
Title of Project:	Lone Star Healthy Stream	ns				
Project Goals/Objectives:	The goal of this project is to reduce the levels of bacterial contamination of Texas watersheds from grazing livestock (beef cattle). This goal will be accomplished by meeting the objectives of 1) developing an educational curriculum that delivers current knowledge training in production and environmental management of grazing lands and their associated watersheds as part of the Long Star Healthy Streams program, 2) evaluating and demonstrating the effectiveness of value-added BMPs in reducing bacterial contamination of streams and water bodies from grazing lands, 3) testing the functionality of the education program and make necessary changes and program modifications, and 4) promoting Statewide adoption of appropriate best management practices (BMPs) and other watershed / water quality protection activities through education, outreach and technology transfer.					
Project Tasks:	1) Project Coordination Bacterial Education Pro Delivery, and 5) Demon Lands.	grams For Beenstrate Value-Ad	f Cattle Produ Ided BMPs T	o Reduce E	lucation Progr Bacteria Runof	ram Testing and ff From Grazing
Measures of Success:	1) As measured by surve agricultural producers environmental and bacter and other activities to a source pollution. 3) As n	within the targerial issues. 2) Anddress potential	get area rega As measured b bacterial imp	rding prod y the adopt pairments ca	uction praction of recommused by agric	ces and related nended practices cultural nonpoint
Project Type:	Statewide (X); Watershe Watershed Protection ()	d Implementation	n / Education	(X); Waters	hed Planning	Assessment ();
Status of Water Body: 2002 Water Quality Inventory and 303(d) List	Segment ID: Copano Bay (2472) Plum Creek (1810) Brazos River Abv Navas	ota (1242)	Parameter: Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria		Category: 5a Use concern 5c	
Project Location:	Best management pract located in the Copano Laboratory near Riesel in in the Plum Creek wate education program will be	ices will be evan Bay watershed, in the Brazos Rivershed. In conju- be piloted and the	the USDA-A er above Nava nction with the en be made ava	ARS Grassla sota watersh ese BMP d ailable to wa	and Soil and led, and a prive emonstrations tersheds through	Water Research ate ranch located /evaluations, the ighout the state.
Key Project Activities:	Hire Staff (X); Monitoria Education (X); Implement				al Assistance ();
NPS Management Program Elements:	Short-Term Goal 3, Objectives A, B, and D Milestone F					
Project Costs:	Federal: \$404,6	Non-Fed	leral Match:	\$271,098	Total:	\$675,771
Project Management:	Texas Water ResTexas AgriLife I	Extension Servic	e (AgriLife Ex	tension)		
Project Period:	September 1, 2006 – Ma	rch 31, 2011				

Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant	Applicant						
Project Lead	B.L. Harris						
Title	Acting Director						
Organization	Texas Water Res	ources Inst	itute (TWRI)				
E-mail Address	bl-harris@tamu.	<u>edu</u>					
Street Address	1500 Research Pa	arkway, Su	ite 240A				
	2118 TAMU						
City College Sta	tion County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843-2118						
Telephone Number	(979) 845-1851	•	_	Fax Number	(979)) 845-8554	

Project Co-Lead	Larry A. Redmon	Larry A. Redmon					
Title	Professor and Fo	rage Spec	ialist				
	Soil and Crop Sc	iences					
Organization	Texas AgriLife I	Extension S	Service (AgriI	Life Extension)			
E-mail Address	lredmon@ag.tan	nu.edu					
Street Address	2474 TAMU						
City College Sta	tion	on County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843-2474					
Telephone Number	(979) 845-2425						

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board (TSSWCB)	Project oversight
Texas Water Resources Institute (TWRI)	Project coordination and reporting (Task 1) and
	BMP demonstration and evaluation (Task 5)
Texas AgriLife Extension Service	Assist with project coordination and reporting
	(Task 1). Development and delivery of <i>Lone Star</i>
	Healthy Streams Program (Tasks 2-4). Assist
	BMP demonstration and evaluation (Task 5)
Texas AgriLife Research (Dr. Terry Gentry)	Oversee lab analysis of <i>E. coli</i> and <i>Bacteroidales</i>
	PCR
Texas AgriLife Research & Extension Center – Uvalde (Dr. Robert	Education and outreach
Lyons)	
Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs)	Assist AgriLife Extension with delivery and
	evaluation of the educational program
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)	Assist AgriLife Extension with delivery and
	evaluation of the educational program
Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA), Farm Services Agency	Project Steering Committee
(FSA), Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative (GLCI), Texas Farm	
Bureau (TFB), Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association	
(TSCRA), Independent Cattleman's Association of Texas (ICAT)	

Part II – Project Information

Project Type								
Surface Water	X	Groundwater						
Does the project in	Does the project implement recommendations made in a completed Watershed Protection Yes No X							
Plan or approved	TMDL F	Report or Implemen	ntation F	Plan?				
If yes, identify the	docume	ent.						
If yes, identify the agency/group that Year								
developed and/or a	approve	d the document.			Develop	ed		

Watershed Information				
Watershed Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (8 Digit)	Segment ID	305 (b) Category	Size (mi ²)
Plum Creek	12100203	1810	Use Concern	388 mi^2
Copano Bay	12100405 - 12100407	2472	5a	$2,652 \text{ mi}^2$
Brazos River abv Navasota	12070101	1242	5c	$2,726 \text{ mi}^2$

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

According to the 2004 Water Quality Inventory and 303(d) List, 306 waterbodies are impaired in Texas with a total of 419 impairments. Of these, approximately half of the impairments are the result of excessive bacteria. Bacterial source tracking work completed in a number of these waterbodies (e.g. Peach Creek and Leon River) has identified a noticeable contribution from grazing cattle to the bacteria loading of these streams. Grazing lands, which represent the dominant land use in the majority of watersheds in Texas, have received little attention until now regarding the effect of grazing livestock on water quality. Thus, implementation of watershed management principles and practices on grazing lands will be critical to the success of water resource protection efforts in the state in years to come.

Education of landowners and voluntary adoption of BMPs could substantially reduce bacterial contamination of streams and waterbodies as well as reduce the likelihood of increased regulatory oversight of production practices and systems. The TSSWCB, local SWCDs and the USDA-NRCS support producers through technical assistance and cost-share programs that enable the implementation of BMPs. For such measures to be effective, however, they must be properly implemented and managed to ensure sustainability. In addition, these practices must be compatible with the overall management system and result in limited additional economic burden to agricultural producers.

Extension education programs are designed to target specific audiences and to deliver current, unbiased, science-based information and technology. The primary goal of these programs is to increase overall production unit profitability in a sustainable manner. Recently, the dominant environmental education components of these educational programs have been focused on supporting the Texas Department of Agriculture Pesticide Applicator Certification Program. Private pesticide applicator re-certification requires a licensed individual to obtain 15 hours of continuing education units (CEUs) every 5 years, with at least two hours addressing integrated pest management (IPM) and two hours addressing laws and regulations. Texas AgriLife Extension Service is one of the primary providers of training and continuing education for this program. With an increasing focus on more holistic watershed management, however, there is an opportunity for Extension personnel to use the *Lone Star Healthy Streams* (LSHS) Program as a vehicle to expand the overall knowledge base of beef cattle producers regarding watershed management and measures for reducing bacteria contamination of streams. Through linkages with existing programs, the burden on producers and County Texas AgriLife Extension Service (AgriLife Extension) faculty could be minimized, while the knowledge base and potential for producers to participate in, and ultimately affect changes in watershed protection, could be realized.

Project Narrative

General Project Description

This project will be a partnership among the primary federal and state agencies that interface with beef cattle producers relative to environmental management. A Project Steering Committee will be established and coordinated by TWRI to include representatives from the TSSWCB, SWCDs, NRCS, TWRI, AgriLife Extension, AgriLife Research, TDA, FSA, GLCI, and other state and federal agencies as appropriate, and representatives from key commodity groups and organizations including the Texas Farm Bureau, Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association, Independent Cattlemen's Association of Texas, the Texas Forage and Grassland Council, and other allied industries as appropriate. In addition, local producers will be asked to serve on the Project Steering Committee. This committee will provide input into evaluation of BMPs, curriculum development, program delivery and CEU processes.

AgriLife Extension will assess and compile current knowledge regarding BMPs designed to protect grazing lands watersheds from bacteria contamination. Based on this initial task, educational programs and materials will be developed and then tested in priority watershed(s). Concurrent with the development and testing of the educational program, BMPs will be demonstrated and evaluated to determine the efficacy of various value-added BMPs. BMPs that will be considered for evaluation include, but are not limited to the following: grazing management, shade, fencing, rip-rap, alternative water source development, riparian buffers, and combinations thereof. This evaluation will include an assessment of the effects of these BMPs on cattle behavior, bacteria levels, streambank stability, and the economic impact for beef cattle producers. At the grazing management sites, both *E. coli* (enumeration only) and *Bacteroidales* (library-independent PCR Bacteria Source Tracking) will be assessed in runoff to determine loadings and identify and quantify the specific sources of the loadings. The evaluation of *Bacteroidales* will also assist the state in developing cheaper, library-independent methods for Bacterial Source Tracking. At the stream sites, in addition to bi-monthly collections of *E. coli* and flow data, cross sections will be developed before and after BMPs are implemented to assess the impacts of BMP implementation on streambank stability.

Based on the results of the testing of the education program and BMP demonstration/evaluation, an educational program and associated materials will be developed and delivered state-wide to grazing lands owners and managers in priority watersheds to (1) bring heightened awareness of the issue regarding bacteria contamination of watersheds by grazing animals and (2) to encourage adoption of BMPs designed to reduce bacterial loading to Texas streams and water ways.

A LSHS Extension Assistant employed by AgriLife Extension will be responsible for helping develop, adapt and tailor the environmental and commodity specific LSHS educational Program. The LSHS Extension Assistant will coordinate with various specialists within AgriLife Extension to form an internal planning team. Program development, modifications and delivery will be subject to review by a multi-agency steering committee consisting of representatives from, but not limited to: TSSWCB, TDA, NRCS, GLCI, FSA, other state and federal agencies as appropriate, and representatives from key commodity groups and organizations including the Texas Farm Bureau, Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association, ICAT, the Texas Forage and Grassland Council, and other allied industry as appropriate. In addition, producers and soil and water conservation districts will be solicited to provide input into the curriculum development and program delivery processes. The Texas AgriLife Extension Service State Water Quality Coordinator will also provide guidance for the project.

Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (pollutants of concern) of water quality impairments from any of the following sources: 2002 Water Quality Inventory and 303(d) List, 2002 Summary of Waterbodies with Water Quality Concerns (Secondary Concerns List) or Other Documented Sources (ex. Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary or Basin Highlights Reports).

Waterbody (Segment)	Standards not met in 2004 (parameter)	2004 Concerns
Plum Creek (1810)	Contact Recreation Not Supporting (bacteria)	Nutrient Enrichment (ammonia, nitrate+nitrite, phosphorus)
Copano Bay (2472)	Oyster Waters Not Supporting (bacteria)	Nutrient Enrichment (phosphorus) Aquatic Life Concern (D.O.)
Brazos River Abv Navasota	Contact Recreation Not Supporting (bacteria)	Public Water Supply Concern (increased costs due to demineralization

Project Goals

The goal of this project is to reduce the levels of bacterial contamination of Texas watersheds from grazing livestock (beef cattle). This goal will be accomplished by meeting the objectives of:

- 1) developing an educational curriculum that delivers current knowledge training in production and environmental management of grazing lands and their associated watersheds as part of the Long Star Healthy Streams program,
- 2) evaluating and demonstrating the effectiveness of value-added BMPs in reducing bacterial contamination of streams and water bodies from grazing lands,
- 3) testing the functionality of the education program in priority watershed(s) and make necessary changes and program modifications based on the results of the pilot project, and
- 4) promoting Statewide adoption of appropriate best management practices (BMPs) and other watershed / water quality protection activities through education, outreach and technology transfer.

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedul	es								
Task 1:	Project Coordina	tion and Administ	ration							
Costs:	Federal:	\$20,235	State:	\$13,554	Total:	\$33,789				
Objective:	financial supervisions Steering Commit will perform accordaccurate reports. submitted not lat	To effectively coordinate and monitor all work performed under this project including technical and financial supervision, preparation of status reports, and maintenance of project files and data. A Project Steering Committee will be organized to coordinate project efforts with all project participants. TWRI will perform accounting functions for project funds and be responsible for developing timely and accurate reports. Progress reports shall document all activities performed within a quarter and shall be submitted not later than thirty (30) days after the close of the quarter.								
Subtask 1.1:	project efforts wi AgriLife Extensi TFB and TSCRA BMPs and the ed CEU processes;	WRI, in coordination with AgriLife Extension, will organize a LSHS Steering Committee to coordinate roject efforts with all project participants. This Committee will be composed of TSSWCB, SWCDs, agriLife Extension, AgriLife Research, TWRI, NRCS, TDA, FSA, GLCI, and producer groups such as FB and TSCRA. This Committee will meet at least semi-annually to provide input on the evaluation of MPs and the education program; provide input into curriculum development, program delivery and EU processes; discuss project status, provide input on demonstration/BMP evaluation efforts, and coordinate project activities.								
	Start Date	:	Month 1	Completion I	Date:	Month 54				
Subtask 1.2:		e LSHS Steering		report provided un Completion I	ider Task 4].	gress reports will Month 54				
0.1. 1.12				*						
Subtask 1.3:	activities, project	schedule, lines of	f responsibility, co	e with project parti mmunication need	ds, and other requ	irements.				
	Start Date: Month 1 Completion Date: Month 54									
Subtask 1.4:	TWRI will attend meetings with the TSSWCB project manager and other meetings, as needed, to review project status, deliverables, etc.									
	Start Date	:	Month 1	Completion I	Date:	Month 54				
Subtask 1.5:	TWRI will subm	it appropriate Rei	mbursement Form	S.						
	Start Date	:	Month 1	Completion I	Date:	Month 54				
Subtask 1.6:	TWRI will devel dissemination of	information.		(Months 3-48) an	internet website					
	Start Date	:	Month 1	Completion I	Date:	Month 54				
Deliverables	QuarterlyMeetingSteeringProject V	y Reports docume notices, agendas, Committee Meeti	nting the progress meeting summarie	Steering Committed of LSHS Programmes, meeting material	activities	ttendees of LSHS				

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedul	es							
Task 2:	Compile Existing	Information							
Costs:	Federal:	Federal: \$68,794 State: \$46,087 Total: \$114,881							
Objective:	To compile curre	nt knowledge reg	arding the effects	of grazing animals	on bacterial level	s of riparian			
			es (BMPs) design						
		ing Team, AgriLi	fe Extension will b	ouild a diverse Pro	gram with a wide	information base			
~	and benefits.								
Subtask 2.1:			HS Extension Assis						
			owledge regarding	•	•				
	_		bodies and BMPs	•	nize these impacts	s. Further, the			
	Start Date		ture LSHS Progra Month 1		Data	Manual 10			
				Completion I		Month 48			
Subtask 2.2:		•	ın internal AgriLif		•	0			
			n animal production						
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 12			
Subtask 2.3:			l inventory educati						
			h similar efforts in						
			thorough, educati						
			per grazingland ma						
		Start Date: Month 1 Completion Date: Month 48							
Deliverables		List of right Extension representatives requested to serve on the Training Team							
	Schedule	, agenda, attenda	nce list and summa	aries of AgriLife E	xtension Planning	Team meetings			
	Technica	l report describin	g compiled inform	ation					

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedul	es							
Task 3:	Develop Bacteria	l Education Progr	ams For Beef Ca	ttle Producers					
Costs:	Federal:	\$68,794	State:	\$46,087	Total:	\$114,881			
Objective:	To develop State combination of p industries to bette will work in coop agencies and organd overall programl be used as the	To develop State-wide educational programs that provide beef cattle producers and allied industry with a combination of production and environmental training enabling agricultural producers and allied industries to better able manage and protect their valuable land and water resources. AgriLife Extension will work in cooperation with the AgriLife Extension Planning Team, Steering Committee, other agencies and organizations, as appropriate, and with the local producers to develop the core curriculum and overall program delivery procedure. The AgriLife Extension Planning Team and Steering Committee will be used as the primary review panels to ensure that the program is compatible with other existing and planned programs conducted through state and federal agencies and organizations and industry.							
Subtask 3.1:	AgriLife Extension 2.3 into the LSHS	on will facilitate t S Program.	he modification n	ecessary to integra	te existing mater	rials from subtask			
Subtask 3.2:	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 54			
Subtask 3.2.	growers with stat	e-of-the-art produ to protect and cor grazing lands.	ction technology	management eductraining on fundanurces from bacteria Completion I	nental BMPs and all and other NPS	l strategies which			
Subtask 3.3:	Steward program incorporating bas	to provide production to training in water lution and BMPs	cers with a more of ershed form and f	LSHS Program we comprehensive envunction, watershed ich can be employed. Completion I	rironmental educ l management, se ed to protect and	eation curriculum ources of nonpoint			
Subtask 3.4:	AgriLife Extension both environmen	on will establish a tal and production	continuing educa	ntion component th	at enables acqui	sition of CEUs in			
	Start Date		Month 6	Completion I		Month 54			
Subtask 3.5:	which enables in	dividuals to take c	redit for participa	icate of completion in the education	on and training p	orogram.			
	Start Date	:	Month 6	Completion I	Date:	Month 54			
Deliverables	and wate	~ ~		ulum addressing ba	acteria contamina	ation of streams			

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedule	es						
Task 4:	Education Program	m Testing and I	Delivery					
Costs:	Federal:	\$68,794	State:	\$46,087	Total:	\$114,881		
Objective:			n a pilot watershed,	•				
			wide basis that pron					
			on due to grazing liv	•				
	_	•	ed(s), efforts will be not needs for further			of the program		
Subtask 4.1:			ducational program			innut from the		
Subtask 4.1.			Life Extension will					
			e educational progra					
	Start Date:		Month 36	Completion I	Date:	Month 54		
Subtask 4.2:	To increase Progr	am availability,	Web-based and rel	ated local "on-den	nand" program de	elivery tools (i.e.,		
			developed for both					
	Start Date:		Month 36	Completion I		Month 54		
Subtask 4.3:		in producer kno	owledge and awarer	ness of important p	production and en	vironmental		
	issues.							
	Start Date:		Month 36	Completion I		Month 54		
Subtask 4.4:	Utilizing participants surveys, identify and address any barriers to producer participation and successful implementation of the program.							
	Start Date:		Month 36	Completion I	Date:	Month 54		
Subtask 4.5:	Make appropriate of recommended		o the program to fa			on and adoption		
	Start Date:		Month 36	Completion I	Date:	Month 54		
Deliverables	On-demanLSHS ProAssessmeAssessme	nd program deli ogram promotio ent of producer i ent of barriers an	very including wor very tools, CDs, Vi nal materials response to and part nd necessary modifi- ogram including fea	deos, Worksheets cicipation in LSHS cations to ensure I	S Program LSHS Program st	uccess		

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedul	es							
Task 5:	Evaluate And De Water Bodies Fro			Reduce Bacteria Co	ontamination Of	Streams And			
Costs:	Federal:	\$178,056	State:	\$119,283	Total:	\$297,339			
Objective:	contamination from include, but are nowater source deverted TSSWCB and Appersonnel from intarget watershed	To evaluate and demonstrate the effectiveness of current and novel BMPs in reducing bacterial contamination from grazing lands in the pilot watershed. BMPs that will be considered for evaluation include, but are not limited to the following: grazing management, shade, fencing, rip-rap, alternative water source development, riparian buffers, and combinations thereof. Working in conjunction with TSSWCB and AgriLife Research, the TWRI, AgriLife Extension planning team, steering committee and personnel from industry and other agencies and organizations, as appropriate, will select an appropriate target watershed and conduct pilot testing of BMPs to evaluate and demonstrate their effectiveness.							
Subtask 5.1:	the data needs to be followed, and	fulfill those object specify a data maguidelines in EPA	ctives, lists field ar anagement structur	(QAPP) that will ad laboratory methor e and quality assurequirements for Q Completion D	ods, procedures a ance protocols. T uality Assurance	and schedules to The QAPP will be			
Subtask 5.2:	TWRI will provid EPA. Start Date		ns to the QAPP and Month 6	d amendments, as r Completion D	•	TSSWCB and Month 54			
Subtask 5.3:	TWRI and AgriL	ife Extension wil	l identify a cooper	ator to conduct the CD, NRCS, TWRI	BMP demonstra , and AgriLife E	ation/evaluation			
Subtask 5.4:	TWRI and AgriL	ife Extension wil stream and ripari	l assess cattle and	other animal behav after BMP implem	vior to determine nentation. GPS tr	the amount of			
Subtask 5.5:	TWRI and AgriL (E. coli) will be t quality will be as implementation. Start Date	ife Extension will he focus of this exsessed for 12 mon	l assess water qual ffort and will be m nths prior to imple Month 6	ity before and after onitored bi-monthl mentation and then Completion D	r BMP implementy (enumeration of 12 months followate:	ntation. Bacteria only). Water owing Month 54			
Subtask 5.6:	and specific sour after BMP imple evaluation sites b	ces of bacteria, st mentation and <i>Ba</i> by TWRI, AgriLif	ream cross-section cteroidales PCR (1 e Extension, and A		d at all stream sit t BST) will be as	tes before and ssessed at runoff			
Subtool: 5.7:	Start Date		Month 6	Completion D		Month 54			
Subtask 5.7:	bacteria and othe			to cooperating ranc	n to implement	BMPs to reduce			
	Start Date		Month 11	Completion D	ate:	Month 35			
Deliverables	Report de	-		to QAPP	•				

Measures of Success

- As measured by surveys and pre/post evaluations, increased knowledge and understanding by grazing lands
 producers within the target area regarding production practices and related environmental issues.
- As measured by the adoption of recommended practices and other activities to address potential impairments caused by agricultural nonpoint source pollution.
- As measured by a reduction in bacterial contamination in the pilot watershed.

2005 Texas Nonpoint Source Management Program Document Reference

Goals &/or Milestone(s)

This proposal will assist the State in meeting <u>Short-Term Goal Three for NPS Management - Education</u> by conducting education and technology transfer activities to help increase awareness of NPS pollution and prevent activities contributing to the degradation of water bodies, by NPS pollution.

This proposal will assist the State in meeting the <u>Objective</u> of reducing the amount of NPS pollution entering the water bodies of Texas through pollution prevention activities and education by: enhancing existing outreach programs at the state, regional, and local levels to maximize the effectiveness of NPS education; administering programs to educate citizens about water quality and their potential role in causing NPS pollution; and conducting outreach through the Texas AgriLife Extension Service to facilitate broader participation and partnerships.

This proposal will assist the State in meeting <u>Milestone (F) Implementation of Voluntary Actions</u> in 2005 Texas Nonpoint Source Management Program Priority Watersheds considered to be threatened by bacteria from beef grazing operations. Priority Watersheds include, but are not limited to the following: Plum Creek (1810), Copano Bay (2472), and Brazos River above Navasota River (1242) watersheds.

Part III – Financial Information

Budget Summary					
Federal 319(h)	\$404,673	% of total project	60%		
Non-Federal	\$271,098	% of total project (at	40%		
Match		least 40%)			
Total \$ Cost	\$675,771	Total project %	100%		
C 4	Г 1 1	N F 1 11	<i>T</i> , 1	T 1	
Category	Federal	Non-Federal N \$150,539		Total	
Personnel	,,			\$350,345	
Fringe Benefits				\$87,682	
Subtotal Personnel & Fringe	\$253,590	\$184,437	'	\$438,027	
Travel	\$43,544	\$0		\$43,544	
Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0	
Supplies	\$26,626	\$0		\$26,626	
Contractual	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Construction	\$0	\$0		\$0	
Other \$28,130		\$0		\$28,130	
Subtotal \$98,300		\$0		\$98,300	
Total Direct Costs	\$351,890	\$184,437	'	\$536,327	
ndirect Costs (15%) \$52,783		\$47,954		\$100,737	
Unrecovered IDC	\$0	\$38,707	\$38,707 \$38,707		
Total Project Costs \$404,673		\$271,098	3	\$675,771	

Budget Justification			
Category	Total Amount	Justification	
Personnel &	\$438,027	Federal:	
Fringe Benefits		TWRI Project Manager @ 40% effort in yrs 1-3 and 12% in yr 4	
		• TWRI IT Associate @ 4.2% effort in yrs 1-3	
		• Extension Assistant (EA) @ 100% effort in yrs 1-4	
		• Student Worker @ 37.5% effort for 6 months of year 4	
		Non-Federal Match:	
		Co-PI, Professor and Forage Specialist	
		Assoc Prof & Ext Range Specialist	
		Asst Prof and Ext Forage Specialist	
		Asst Prof	
Travel	\$43,544	Federal:	
		• TWRI – \$1,612 annually for travel quarterly Steering Committee	
		Meetings and monthly sampling trips	
		AgriLife Extension Specialists – \$5,824 annually	
		• AgriLife Extension EA – \$3,450 annually for sampling and	
П	Φ0	educational meetings	
Equipment	\$0	N/A	
Supplies	\$26,626	Federal: TWDI Misselleneous symplics (\$720 appuells)	
		 TWRI – Miscellaneous supplies (\$739 annually) AgriLife Extension Specialists – Miscellaneous supplies (\$2,805) 	
		annually)	
		AgriLife Extension EA – computer (\$1,500); digital projector	
		(\$1,500); digital camera (\$1,000), color printer (\$2,500),	
		miscellaneous supplies (\$950), BMP supplies on cooperator site	
		(\$5,000)	
Contractual	\$0	N/A	
Construction	\$0	N/A	
Other	\$28,130	Federal:	
		• Water analyses (\$21,600 total)	
		• Other Charges (\$2,000)	
Y 1'	φ100 727	• Refurbishing Cattle Collars (\$4,530)	
Indirect	\$100,737	Federal:	
		• 15% of Total Direct Federal	
		Non-Federal Match: • 26% of Total Direct Non-Federal Match	
Unrecovered IDC	\$38,707		
Officeovered IDC	\$38,707	Non-Federal Match:	
	I	• 11% of Total Direct Federal	